



# Diffusion in the curl of the 2-dimensional Gaussian Free Field

Fabio Toninelli

joint work with G. Cannizzaro (Warwick) and L. Haunschmid (TU Wien)

Workshop “PDEs and Randomness”

Bath (kind of), September 2021

# The equation: informal version

Our main character: a **Brownian diffusion in random environment**

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad X_0 = 0$$

where:

- $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ : the tracer particle position
- $B_t$ : standard two-dimensional Brownian motion
- $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ : random vector field (drift), law  $\mathbb{P}$

# The equation: informal version

Our main character: a **Brownian diffusion in random environment**

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad X_0 = 0$$

where:

- $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ : the tracer particle position
- $B_t$ : standard two-dimensional Brownian motion
- $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ : random vector field (drift), law  $\mathbb{P}$

The driving field is given by

$$\omega_1(x) = \partial_{x_2} \tilde{h}(x), \quad \omega_2(x) = -\partial_{x_1} \tilde{h}(x)$$

where  $\tilde{h}$  is Gaussian Free Field (GFF) on the plane: for zero-mean test function  $\varphi$ ,

$$\tilde{h}(\varphi) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, \langle \varphi, (-\Delta)^{-1} \varphi \rangle).$$

# The equation: informal version

Our main character: a **Brownian diffusion in random environment**

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad X_0 = 0$$

where:

- $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ : the tracer particle position
- $B_t$ : standard two-dimensional Brownian motion
- $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ : random vector field (drift), law  $\mathbb{P}$

The driving field is given by

$$\omega_1(x) = \partial_{x_2} \tilde{h}(x), \quad \omega_2(x) = -\partial_{x_1} \tilde{h}(x)$$

where  $\tilde{h}$  is Gaussian Free Field (GFF) on the plane: for zero-mean test function  $\varphi$ ,

$$\tilde{h}(\varphi) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, \langle \varphi, (-\Delta)^{-1} \varphi \rangle).$$

Note:

$$\omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, \tilde{h}).$$

# The equation: regularized version

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, \tilde{h}), \quad \tilde{h} = GFF$$

## Problem

$\tilde{h}$  is a distribution, the drift field is too irregular [space regularity  $-1^-$ , not enough]

# The equation: regularized version

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, \tilde{h}), \quad \tilde{h} = \text{GFF}$$

## Problem

$\tilde{h}$  is a distribution, the drift field is too irregular [space regularity  $-1^-$ , not enough]

Solution: regularize the GFF

## Regularization

- take  $U : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  with  $\int U(x)dx = 1$ , approximate delta function (smooth, radial)
- define  $h(x) = (U * \tilde{h})(x)$  (convolution)
- now  $\omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h)$  is well-defined and smooth.

# The equation: regularized version

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, \tilde{h}), \quad \tilde{h} = GFF$$

## Problem

$\tilde{h}$  is a distribution, the drift field is too irregular [space regularity  $-1^-$ , not enough]

Solution: regularize the GFF

## Regularization

- take  $U : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  with  $\int U(x)dx = 1$ , approximate delta function (smooth, radial)
- define  $h(x) = (U * \tilde{h})(x)$  (convolution)
- now  $\omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h)$  is well-defined and smooth.

## Notation

$\mathbb{P}$ : law of the environment  $\omega$ .

# Goal and conjectures

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h), \quad h = (GFF)_{reg}$$

## Questions

- What is the **large-scale behavior of  $X$** ?
- Diffusion/superdiffusion?



# Goal and conjectures

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h), \quad h = (\text{GFF})_{\text{reg}}$$

## Questions

- What is the **large-scale behavior of  $X$** ?
- Diffusion/superdiffusion?

## Conjecture: Logarithmic super-diffusion

$$\mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \stackrel{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} t \times \sqrt{\log t}$$

[B. Tóth, B. Valkó, 2012]

**E:** joint expectation w.r.t. environment and Brownian noise (“annealed”)

# Known results

B. Tóth and B. Valkó (2012) proved:

$$\log \log t \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \lesssim \log t, \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

in Tauberian sense, i.e.

$$\lambda^{-2} \log |\log \lambda| \lesssim D(\lambda) \lesssim \lambda^{-2} |\log \lambda|$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ , where

$$D(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) dt$$

# Known results

B. Tóth and B. Valkó (2012) proved:

$$\log \log t \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \lesssim \log t, \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

in Tauberian sense, i.e.

$$\lambda^{-2} \log |\log \lambda| \lesssim D(\lambda) \lesssim \lambda^{-2} |\log \lambda|$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ , where

$$D(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) dt$$

NB: in Laplace transform, the **conjecture** is

$$D(\lambda) \stackrel{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+}{\approx} \lambda^{-2} \sqrt{|\log \lambda|}.$$

# Our result

**Theorem** (G. Cannizzaro, L. Haunschmid, F.T. '21, arXiv)

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ ,

$$c_-(\varepsilon)(\log |\log \lambda|)^{-3/2-\varepsilon} \leq \lambda^2 \frac{D(\lambda)}{\sqrt{|\log \lambda|}} \leq c_+(\varepsilon)(\log |\log \lambda|)^{+3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

That is: **the conjecture is proven, up to loglog corrections**

# Our result

**Theorem** (G. Cannizzaro, L. Haunschmid, F.T. '21, arXiv)

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ ,

$$c_-(\varepsilon)(\log |\log \lambda|)^{-3/2-\varepsilon} \leq \lambda^2 \frac{D(\lambda)}{\sqrt{|\log \lambda|}} \leq c_+(\varepsilon)(\log |\log \lambda|)^{+3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

That is: **the conjecture is proven, up to loglog corrections**

In “real time” one can deduce:

- upper bound:

$$\mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \leq C(\varepsilon)t\sqrt{\log t}(\log \log t)^{3/2+\varepsilon}$$

using argument by Tarrès, Tóth, Valkó 2012

- lower bound:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2)}{t\sqrt{\log t}(\log \log t)^{-3/2-\varepsilon}} > 0$$

# Tracer particle in incompressible turbulent flow

$$\operatorname{div}(\omega)(x) = \partial_{x_1} \omega_1(x) + \partial_{x_2} \omega_2(x) = -\partial_{x_1}^2 h(x) + \partial_{x_2}^2 h(x) = 0$$

# Tracer particle in incompressible turbulent flow

$$\operatorname{div}(\omega)(x) = \partial_{x_1}\omega_1(x) + \partial_{x_2}\omega_2(x) = -\partial_{x_1}^2 h(x) + \partial_{x_2}^2 h(x) = 0$$

Key quantity: energy spectrum of the field

$$e(\rho) = \sum_{a=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ipx} \mathbb{E}[\omega_a(0)\omega_a(x)] dx$$

and “Péclet number”

$$P(\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e(q)}{|q|^2} \mathbf{1}_{|q| \geq \rho} dq.$$

# Tracer particle in incompressible turbulent flow

$$\operatorname{div}(\omega)(x) = \partial_{x_1}\omega_1(x) + \partial_{x_2}\omega_2(x) = -\partial_{x_1}^2 \omega_2(x) + \partial_{x_2}^2 \omega_1(x) = 0$$

Key quantity: **energy spectrum of the field**

$$e(\rho) = \sum_{a=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\rho x} \mathbb{E}[\omega_a(0)\omega_a(x)] dx$$

and “Péclet number”

$$P(\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e(q)}{|q|^2} \mathbf{1}_{|q| \geq \rho} dq.$$

Long literature in short:

- if  $P(0) < \infty$  ( $H_{-1}$  condition): **normal diffusion, quenched CLT**  
(Komorowski-Olla '01, and Kozma-Tóth '17, Tóth '18 for RW  $\rightsquigarrow$  **Balint's talk**)
- if  $P(\rho) \stackrel{\rho \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \rho^{-a}$ ,  $a > 0$ , then  $\int_0^T \mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) dt \sim T^{1+\nu}$ ,  $\nu > 1$  (**super-diffusivity**)  
(Komorowski-Olla '02)
- our case:  $P(\rho) \stackrel{\rho \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \log(1/\rho)$ : **boundary between diffusion and super-diffusion**



# Logarithmic super-diffusivity in $2d$ systems

Logarithmic corrections to diffusivity expected in  $2d$  (self)-interacting diffusions:

► ASEP on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |x|^2 \mathbf{E}[\eta_x(t); \eta_0(0)] \approx (\log t)^{2/3}$$

Conjectured by van Beijeren-Kutner-Spohn '85, proven by H-T Yau '04

# Logarithmic super-diffusivity in $2d$ systems

Logarithmic corrections to diffusivity expected in  $2d$  (self)-interacting diffusions:

- ▶ ASEP on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |x|^2 \mathbf{E}[\eta_x(t); \eta_0(0)] \approx (\log t)^{2/3}$$

Conjectured by van Beijeren-Kutner-Spohn '85, proven by H-T Yau '04

- ▶ Tracer particle in non-ideal fluids: Wainwright-Alder-Gass '71 conjecture

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \approx (\log t)^{1/2}$$

Proven:  $D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \gtrsim \log \log t$  (Landim-Ramirez-Yau '05, lattice gas model)

# Logarithmic super-diffusivity in $2d$ systems

Logarithmic corrections to diffusivity expected in  $2d$  (self)-interacting diffusions:

- ▶ ASEP on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |x|^2 \mathbf{E}[\eta_x(t); \eta_0(0)] \approx (\log t)^{2/3}$$

Conjectured by van Beijeren-Kutner-Spohn '85, proven by H-T Yau '04

- ▶ Tracer particle in non-ideal fluids: Wainwright-Alder-Gass '71 conjecture

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \approx (\log t)^{1/2}$$

Proven:  $D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \gtrsim \log \log t$  (Landim-Ramirez-Yau '05, lattice gas model)

- ▶ Self-repelling polymers: Amit-Parisi-Peliti '83 conjectured  $\approx (\log t)^\alpha$  superdiffusion. Controversy about  $\alpha$ , most convincing conjecture  $\alpha = 1/2$  (Tóth-Valkó)

Proven:  $\log \log t \lesssim D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \lesssim \log t$  (Tóth-Valkó '12)

# Logarithmic super-diffusivity in $2d$ systems

Logarithmic corrections to diffusivity expected in  $2d$  (self)-interacting diffusions:

- ▶ ASEP on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |x|^2 \mathbf{E}[\eta_x(t); \eta_0(0)] \approx (\log t)^{2/3}$$

Conjectured by van Beijeren-Kutner-Spohn '85, proven by H-T Yau '04

- ▶ Tracer particle in non-ideal fluids: Wainwright-Alder-Gass '71 conjecture

$$D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \approx (\log t)^{1/2}$$

Proven:  $D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \gtrsim \log \log t$  (Landim-Ramirez-Yau '05, lattice gas model)

- ▶ Self-repelling polymers: Amit-Parisi-Peliti '83 conjectured  $\approx (\log t)^\alpha$  superdiffusion. Controversy about  $\alpha$ , most convincing conjecture  $\alpha = 1/2$  (Tóth-Valkó)

Proven:  $\log \log t \lesssim D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \lesssim \log t$  (Tóth-Valkó '12)

- ▶ The 2-dimensional Anisotropic KPZ equation  $\rightsquigarrow$  Giuseppe's talk

$$(\log t)^\delta \lesssim D_{\text{bulk}}(t) \lesssim (\log t)^{1-\delta}, \quad \delta > 0$$

(Cannizzaro-Erhard-F.T. '20)  $\rightsquigarrow$   $\delta = 1/2$  (Cannizzaro-Erhard-F.T. '21<sup>+</sup>)

# The environment process

## The environment seen by the particle

$\omega$  is divergence-free and ergodic  $\implies$  environment  $\omega_t(\cdot) := \omega(X_t + \cdot)$  has law  $\mathbb{P}$  (stationary).

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be generator of Markov process  $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . **Non-reversible!**

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_0}_{\text{symmetric}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{anti-symmetric}}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ + \mathcal{A}_-, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = -\mathcal{A}_+^*$$

# The environment process

## The environment seen by the particle

$\omega$  is divergence-free and ergodic  $\implies$  environment  $\omega_t(\cdot) := \omega(X_t + \cdot)$  has law  $\mathbb{P}$  (stationary).

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be generator of Markov process  $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . **Non-reversible!**

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_0}_{\text{symmetric}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{anti-symmetric}}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ + \mathcal{A}_-, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = -\mathcal{A}_+^*$$

Starting point: (recall:  $dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t$ ,  $X_0 = 0$ )

$$X_1(t) = B_1(t) + \int_0^t \varphi(\eta_s) ds, \quad \varphi(\omega) := \omega_1(0).$$

Identity:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t \varphi(\eta_s) ds \right)^2 \right] dt = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1} \varphi(\omega)].$$

# The structure of the generator

## Wiener chaos analysis

$$\rightsquigarrow L^2(\mathbb{P}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{H}_n \perp \mathcal{H}_m \text{ for } m \neq n \text{ (span of Wick products : } \hat{h}(p_1) \dots \hat{h}(p_n) \text{ :)}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}) \quad \implies \quad F = \sum_{n \geq 0} F_n.$$

# The structure of the generator

## Wiener chaos analysis

$$\rightsquigarrow L^2(\mathbb{P}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{H}_n \perp \mathcal{H}_m \text{ for } m \neq n \text{ (span of Wick products : } \hat{h}(\rho_1) \dots \hat{h}(\rho_n) \text{)}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}) \quad \implies \quad F = \sum_{n \geq 0} F_n.$$

## Generator

$\rightsquigarrow$  recall:  $\omega_t$  is Markov and its generator  $\mathcal{L}$  is such that

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_0}_{\text{symmetric}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_+ + \mathcal{A}_-}_{\text{antisymmetric}}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{A}_+, \mathcal{A}_-$  satisfy for all  $n$

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{L}_0 : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n}_{\text{Laplacian}} \quad \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_+ : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1}}_{\text{creation}} \quad \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_- : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-1}}_{\text{annihilation}}$$

NB:  $\mathcal{L}_0$  diagonal in chaos and momentum space.  $\mathcal{A}_\pm$  is neither.



# The truncated generator equation

- Ideally: solve  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L})f = \varphi$  and then  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\varphi(\omega)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1(\omega)]$ .

Problem:  $\varphi$  in first Wiener chaos  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , but  $f$  has components in all  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

# The truncated generator equation

- Ideally: solve  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L})f = \varphi$  and then  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\varphi(\omega)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1(\omega)]$ .

Problem:  $\varphi$  in first Wiener chaos  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , but  $f$  has components in all  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

- Idea: truncation (Landim-Quastel-Salmhofer-Yau '04)

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_n \mathcal{L} P_n, \quad P_n : L^2(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \leq n} \mathcal{H}_j$$

(truncated generator)

# The truncated generator equation

- Ideally: solve  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L})f = \varphi$  and then  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\varphi(\omega)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1(\omega)]$ .

Problem:  $\varphi$  in first Wiener chaos  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , but  $f$  has components in all  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

- Idea: truncation (Landim-Quastel-Salmhofer-Yau '04)

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_n \mathcal{L} P_n, \quad P_n : L^2(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \leq n} \mathcal{H}_j$$

(truncated generator)

- Advantage I: bounds

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1^{(2n)}(\omega)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1(\omega)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1^{(2n+1)}(\omega)]$$

# The truncated generator equation

- Ideally: solve  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L})f = \varphi$  and then  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)(\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\varphi(\omega)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1(\omega)]$ .

Problem:  $\varphi$  in first Wiener chaos  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , but  $f$  has components in all  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

- Idea: truncation (Landim-Quastel-Salmhofer-Yau '04)

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_n \mathcal{L} P_n, \quad P_n : L^2(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \leq n} \mathcal{H}_j$$

(truncated generator)

- Advantage I: bounds

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1^{(2n)}(\omega)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1(\omega)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\omega)f_1^{(2n+1)}(\omega)]$$

- Advantage II:

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_n)f^{(n)} = \varphi \quad \text{is finite linear system}$$

# The implementation

The equation  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_n)f^{(n)} = \varphi$  has “explicit” solution:

$$f_1^{(n)} = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_n)^{-1} \varphi,$$

but  $H_n$  defined only iteratively:

$$H_1 \equiv 0, \quad H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^* (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+.$$

Up to now, very similar to Yau’s  $(\log t)^{2/3}$  proof for 2d ASEP. Now the core estimate.

# The implementation

The equation  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_n)f^{(n)} = \varphi$  has “explicit” solution:

$$f_1^{(n)} = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_n)^{-1} \varphi,$$

but  $H_n$  defined only iteratively:

$$H_1 \equiv 0, \quad H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^*(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+.$$

Up to now, very similar to Yau’s  $(\log t)^{2/3}$  proof for 2d ASEP. Now the core estimate.

Let  $\mathcal{E}_k(x) := \sum_{j \leq k} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \log(1/x) \right)^j$ . NB:  $\mathcal{E}_k(x) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\log(1/x)}$

**Theorem** (very rough version)

Operator bounds

$$H_{2n} \lesssim (-\mathcal{L}_0) \mathcal{E}_n(-\mathcal{L}_0), \quad H_{2n+1} \gtrsim (-\mathcal{L}_0) \frac{\log[(-\mathcal{L}_0)^{-1}]}{\mathcal{E}_n(-\mathcal{L}_0)}$$

# Some crucial issues

- Iterative proof: UB on  $H_2 \rightarrow$  LB on  $H_3 \rightarrow$  UB on  $H_4 \dots$

(recall:  $H_1 \equiv 0$ ,  $H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^*(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+.$ )

# Some crucial issues

- Iterative proof: UB on  $H_2 \rightarrow$  LB on  $H_3 \rightarrow$  UB on  $H_4 \dots$

(recall:  $H_1 \equiv 0$ ,  $H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^*(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+$ .)

- $H_n$  is *non-diagonal in Fourier space, but the bounds are diagonal*



# Some crucial issues

- Iterative proof: UB on  $H_2 \rightarrow$  LB on  $H_3 \rightarrow$  UB on  $H_4 \dots$

(recall:  $H_1 \equiv 0$ ,  $H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^*(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+ \cdot$ )

- $H_n$  is *non-diagonal in Fourier space, but the bounds are diagonal*
- actual bounds also depend on the chaos component, i.e. on *number operator*  $\mathcal{N}$   
 $[\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\phi = n\phi]$

# Some crucial issues

- Iterative proof: UB on  $H_2 \rightarrow$  LB on  $H_3 \rightarrow$  UB on  $H_4 \dots$   
(recall:  $H_1 \equiv 0$ ,  $H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^*(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+$ .)
- $H_n$  is *non-diagonal in Fourier space, but the bounds are diagonal*
- actual bounds also depend on the chaos component, i.e. on *number operator*  $\mathcal{N}$   
 $[\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\phi = n\phi]$
- Why  $(\log t)^{2/3}$  for 2d-ASEP and  $(\log t)^{1/2}$  for the diffusion in the curl of the GFF?  
**Anisotropic** vs. **Isotropic** dispersion relation

# Some crucial issues

- Iterative proof: UB on  $H_2 \rightarrow$  LB on  $H_3 \rightarrow$  UB on  $H_4 \dots$

(recall:  $H_1 \equiv 0$ ,  $H_n = \mathcal{A}_+^*(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0 + H_{n-1})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_+$ .)

- $H_n$  is *non-diagonal in Fourier space, but the bounds are diagonal*
- actual bounds also depend on the chaos component, i.e. on *number operator*  $\mathcal{N}$   
 $[\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\phi = n\phi]$
- Why  $(\log t)^{2/3}$  for 2d-ASEP and  $(\log t)^{1/2}$  for the diffusion in the curl of the GFF?  
**Anisotropic** vs. **Isotropic** dispersion relation
- structural, not technical, difference:**
  - for 2d-ASEP [Yau '04] at step  $n$ ,  $\log^{2/3-\nu_n}$  bound, with
 
$$\nu_n = O(\exp(-n)).$$
  - in our case, only loglog gain at each step

# Summary and open questions

We looked at the SDE

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h), \quad h = (GFF)_{reg}$$

(diffusion in a divergence-free, two-dimensional random field)

- ▶ result: logarithmic super-diffusivity  $\mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \approx t\sqrt{\log t}$  (conjectured by Tóth-Válko, 2012)
- ▶ conjectured in a large class of  $2d$  out-of-equilibrium systems. **First such proof!**

# Summary and open questions

We looked at the SDE

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h), \quad h = (\text{GFF})_{\text{reg}}$$

(diffusion in a divergence-free, two-dimensional random field)

- ▶ result: logarithmic super-diffusivity  $\mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \approx t\sqrt{\log t}$  (conjectured by Tóth-Válko, 2012)
- ▶ conjectured in a large class of  $2d$  out-of-equilibrium systems. **First such proof!**

To be understood:

- ▶ quenched vs. annealed behavior: not clear
- ▶ interplay between diffusion ( $dB_t$ ) and motion on level-lines of GFF.

Note:  $\omega(x) \perp \nabla h(x)$

- ▶ variational proof of lower bounds?

# Summary and open questions

We looked at the SDE

$$dX_t = \omega(X_t)dt + dB_t, \quad \omega = \nabla \times (0, 0, h), \quad h = (\text{GFF})_{\text{reg}}$$

(diffusion in a divergence-free, two-dimensional random field)

- ▶ result: logarithmic super-diffusivity  $\mathbf{E}(|X(t)|^2) \approx t\sqrt{\log t}$  (conjectured by Tóth-Válko, 2012)
- ▶ conjectured in a large class of  $2d$  out-of-equilibrium systems. **First such proof!**

To be understood:

- ▶ quenched vs. annealed behavior: not clear
- ▶ interplay between diffusion ( $dB_t$ ) and motion on level-lines of GFF.

Note:  $\omega(x) \perp \nabla h(x)$

- ▶ variational proof of lower bounds?

## Thanks!